Team Building Suggestions

INTRODUCTION
While the term “team building” can elicit some skepticism, when designed well, team building activities can help teams be more effective. This document includes several team building ideas for Bass Connections teams. You may wish to do these activities within your own team, or with other teams in your theme. Teams are also encouraged to come up with their own ideas. Please note that some of the activities have minor costs – we encourage you to discuss funding ideas with your theme administrator as needed.

If you find something great that works for your team, or have feedback on any of the activities listed below, please share it with us by emailing laura.howes@duke.edu and we will add it to the list.

Elements of a Good Team Building Activity
Before selecting a specific activity for your team, you might want to spend a few minutes thinking about your goals and the needs of your team. In general, effective team building activities:

- **Are designed with a clear objective in mind:** What are you trying to accomplish through the activity? For example, are you trying to demonstrate the power of teams? Or, help team members get to know one another? Or, are you trying to create healthy communication habits?

- **Are inclusive:** Consider the members of your team and their relative comfort zones to select an activity that will include all team members.

- **Strengthen team relationships:** The activity should help team members get to know one another better, in a constructive environment. Avoid activities that encourage unhealthy competition.

- **Challenge participants:** If the exercise is obvious and easy, participants will just go through the motions. Pick an activity that is likely to engage even the most reticent participants.

- **Include a debrief:** You should always take time to discuss the activity and key takeaways as a team – otherwise some team members may miss important takeaways.

Selecting an Activity
The list of activities below is divided into three types of activities:

- **Offsite activities**
- **Onsite team building exercises**
- **Style-type indicators**
OFFSITE ACTIVITIES

Team Dinner
You could go anywhere – be mindful that if you plan to ask students to pay for their own meals (not recommended), not all students can afford this. If you are so inclined, students also love to dine at a professor’s house.

Bull City Escape
711 Iredell Street
Durham, NC 27705
info@Bullcityescape.com
https://www.bullcityescape.com/

Bull City Escape is an exhilarating, interactive experience in which small groups are locked in a room and have 60 minutes to escape. To find the key, individuals must work together to unearth clues, solve puzzles, and crack codes. Games cost $25 per person plus tax.

Duke Recreation Outdoor Adventures
Chris Hendricks
919-681-2824
chris.hendricks@duke.edu
https://recreation.duke.edu/outdoor-adventures (scroll down to the Customized Adventures section)

Duke Recreation offers customizable outdoor trips and team building activities for between four and 15 people. Examples include a teambuilding retreat with a picnic and canoeing at Falls Lake; canoeing the Eno River; paddleboarding at Jordan Lake; and mountain biking at Lake Crabtree (these are just examples, Duke Recreation can work with you to adapt the activity and location). Pricing varies depending on size of the group, location of activity, length of the trip, and number of meals provided.

Frog Hollow Outdoors
614 Trent Dr.
Durham, NC 27705
919-416-1200
info@froghollowoutdoors.com
http://www.froghollowoutdoors.com/

Frog Hollow offers facilitated low ropes courses; team building activities; and canoes and kayaks for facilitated on water activities. Prices range depending on the services requested and group size but in the past have cost approximately $625 for groups smaller than 18.

Cooking a Meal Together at Southern Season
University Mall
201 S. Estes Drive
Chapel Hill, NC 27514

http://www.southernseason.com/cooking-school/chapel-hill/private-events/
Southern Season offers group cooking activities that are great for team building. The team will work together to cook a meal and then have the opportunity to sit down for a meal together. This experience typically takes about two hours and costs approximately $65 per person.

ONSITE TEAM BUILDING EXERCISES

The Marshmallow Challenge
- **Time:** 30-40 minutes
- **Resources:** Spaghetti, masking tape, string, marshmallows, scissors
- **Learning objective:** Creativity and problem-solving; team building
- **Number of people:** At least six
- **Instructions:** Divide the team into at least two teams consisting of between three and six individuals. Each team will have 18 minutes to attempt to build the tallest free-standing structure out of 20 sticks of spaghetti, one yard of masking tape, one yard of string (and scissors for cutting), and one marshmallow. The marshmallow needs to be on top of the structure. When time is up, measure the towers, if they are free-standing, and declare a winner. This [website](#) includes more detailed instructions and a TED Talk about the exercise.

Poker Tower
- **Time:** 20-30 minutes
- **Resources:** Scissors, two to three decks of cards
- **Learning objective:** Creativity and problem-solving; team building
- **Number of people:** At least four
- **Instructions:** Distribute a deck of cards and a pair of scissors to each group of 2-5 members. Instruct them to build the tallest tower using ONLY the cards and scissors given to them in 15 minutes. Measure the towers and then debrief how each team divided tasks and worked together.

Talking in Circles
- **Time:** 15-30 minutes
- **Resources:** One long string
- **Learning objective:** Communication and cooperation; team building
- **Number of people:** At least four
- **Instructions:** Place everyone in a circle around a long piece of string that is tied at its ends to form a circle. Have everyone grasp the string with both hands and hold the string waist high. Instruct the team to form different shapes one-by-one (e.g., square, rectangle, triangle, figure eight) without letting go of the string. For an extra challenge, you can repeat the game but with everyone’s eyes closed! This game requires everyone to communicate clearly and listen well.
Salt and Pepper

- **Time:** 15 minutes
- **Resources:** Paper, tape
- **Learning objective:** Communication; team bonding
- **Number of people:** At least 10 (ideally even numbered)
- **Instructions:** As a facilitator, before your meeting starts, think of pairs of things such as salt and pepper, yin and yang, shadow and light, peanut butter and jelly, Mickey and Minnie mouse, male and female, and so forth. Write each item on a piece of paper (i.e., salt on one piece and pepper on another), and tape one paper on the back of each person, making sure they can’t see it. When the game starts, everyone must walk around asking yes or no questions in order to find out what word they have taped to their backs. Once they figure that out, they need to find their other pair. Learning how to ask the right questions is the key. (Optional: The two will then sit down and learn three to five interesting facts about one another).

Survival Game

- **Time:** 45-60 minutes
- **Resources:** Paper, instructions
- **Learning objective:** Communication; debate and reaching agreement
- **Number of people:** At least four
- **Instructions:** Chose one of the four survival scenarios below. Divide your team into two or three smaller teams of at least four individuals each (small teams can also do this with just one team). Provide each participant with a copy of the survival scenario (but not the answers). Give them 10 minutes to read it and independently rank which survival materials they think are the most important. Then, have the teams come together. In 20 minutes, each team should reach a consensus on how they would rank each of the survival materials. Once each team has written their answers down, read the expert rankings and have the teams tally their score by marking the number of points that their rank for each item differed from the expert ranking – disregard pluses or minuses. The lower the total score at the end, the better the team’s chance of survival. Debrief by discussing how the team debated differing views and came to decisions. Did someone on the team have expertise that others didn’t? How was that expertise used?

**Survival Scenarios:** Please note that these links all lead to PDF files on the internet. Your computer may give you a warning to verify that you know you are opening a PDF file.

- **Plane Crash**
- **Lost at Sea**
- **Survival on the Moon**
- **Stranded in the Desert**
Back-to-Back Drawing
- **Time:** 15 minutes
- **Resources:** Paper, pencil, pictures of shapes
- **Learning objective:** Communication
- **Number of people:** At least two
- **Instructions:** Divide your group into pairs, and have each pair sit on the floor back-to-back. Give one person in each pair a picture of a shape (you can print one off line or draw it yourself), and give the other person a pencil and pad of paper. Ask the people holding the pictures to give verbal instructions to their partner on how to draw the shape – without actually telling their partner what the shape is. After they've finished, ask each pair to compare their original shape with the actual drawing. Debrief by discussing how the pairs communicated to achieve the task.

The Book of Awesome
- **Time:** 5 minutes at each team meeting (ongoing)
- **Resources:** Post-it notes; Blank notebook
- **Learning objective:** Peer recognition
- **Number of people:** The whole team
- **Instructions:** The Book of Awesome is a blank book that a team populates over time by noting when a team member has done something praise-worthy. During the first meeting introduce the concept. Then at every meeting thereafter, in the beginning or end of each meeting, ask anyone on the team if there is anything awesome that another team member did that they would like to recognize (e.g. “Jessica helped me edit my section of the paper” or “Omar came up with an innovative way to solve X problem”). If they do, they should write a brief phrase describing it on a post-it note, then explain what they wrote to the team, and place it in the book. Over time the book will fill up with awesome team accomplishments.

Tennis Ball Exercise
- **Time:** 10-15 minutes
- **Resources:** Three tennis balls per team; one timer per team
- **Learning objective:** Creativity and problem-solving; team building
- **Number of people:** At least five people per team and one timer
- **Instructions:** Form groups of five to 10 people each (if you can only form one team that is fine too). Each team should stand up and carve out some space to work within in the room and then form a standing circle. Give each team three tennis balls and assign someone to take time for the team. Instruct each team to stand in a circle.

As the facilitator, tell all groups that you will first demonstrate with one group. Using one ball only, toss it to someone on the opposite side of the circle from you. Tell that person to toss it to someone else, but to remember who that someone is. Have the next person toss it to someone new,
remembering who, and so on. When the last person gets it, have them start again, always passing the ball from person to person in the same order.

Ask the other groups (if you have more) to try it with one ball as well and have the timer time how long it takes for the team to finish one complete cycle of passing the ball (depending on the size of the group it will usually take about 10 seconds).

Now, ask each team to see how quickly they can pass three tennis balls one at a time, in the same order. The timer will hold the three balls to begin. The timer will pass the first ball to the first person on the team, and start the timer immediately. As soon as the first person passes the ball to the next, the timer will introduce the second ball, and then the third. Stop the timer as soon as the last ball reaches the last person in the group.

The timer should tell the team what their time was, and then ask them how quickly they think they can do it without changing anything else. Let each team try a few times. The timer should note the times.

After each team has tried a few times, as a facilitator, call time out. Ask each team to share their lowest time. Most times will be between 8-15 seconds.

Then tell the teams that some teams have done it in less than one second, and challenge them to beat this time. The teams can do anything they want – the only rule is that all three balls must move in the same order.

Let the teams have a few minutes to try different strategies while the timer again records their times. Then call for a break again and ask each team to share their best time and what they did to achieve that time (the best solutions tend to be ones in which teams create a “funnel” or a “ramp” with team members’ arms and drop the balls through the funnel).

Tell participants that they may return to their seat and then debrief the exercise. What helped the team dramatically improve their time between the first and second rounds (e.g., competition, team work, innovation, etc.)? How did the team problem solve together? Note that this is an example of how teams can innovate and rapidly solve problems by working together.

**Icebreakers**

- **Time:** 10 minutes per question
- **Resources:** Icebreaker questions
- **Learning objective:** Relationship building
- **Number of people:** The whole team
- **Instructions:** It’s likely that everyone has participated in an icebreaker at some point – where each person on a team has an opportunity to share their response to a given question with the team. Good icebreaker questions are interesting and help team members learn something new about one another. Even questions that are completely unrelated to the substance of the team’s work are valuable for helping team members build strong relationships. Team leaders could do a series of icebreakers during the first few meetings, or incorporate a question into every meeting. Some examples include:
- What is the one accomplishment in your life that you are most proud of?
- What is a favorite childhood memory?
- What do you hope to contribute to the team?
- What is one thing about yourself that others would not know?
- How do you like to work best?
- What is your favorite holiday and why?
- What is your favorite restaurant in Durham?
- What’s one of your best memories of your first weeks at Duke?

**Helium Stick**

- **Time:** 20 minutes
- **Resources:** A long, thin *lightweight* rod or piece of wood about 10 ft. long
- **Learning objective:** Communication, team work
- **Number of people:** Six to 14 per group
- **Instructions:** Instruct each team (if more than one) to form two lines, facing each other, about two arms-lengths away from each other. Ask participants to point their index fingers and hold their arms out. Lay the “helium stick” down on their fingers. Get the group to adjust their finger heights until the helium stick is horizontal and everyone’s index fingers are touching the stick. Explain that the challenge is to lower the helium stick to the ground but that each person’s fingers must be in contact with the helium stick at all times. Pinching or grabbing the pole is not allowed – it must rest on top of the fingers. Reiterate to the group that if anyone’s finger is caught not touching the helium stick, the team must start over. Let the task begin....

Particularly in the early stages, the helium stick has a habit of mysteriously 'floating' up rather than coming down, causing much laughter. (The stick does not contain helium. The secret, which you should keep it to yourself, is that the collective upwards pressure created by everyone’s fingers tends to be greater than the weight of the stick. As a result, the more a group tries, the more the stick tends to 'float' upwards).

Participants may be confused initially about the paradoxical behavior of the helium stick. Some groups or individuals may be inclined to give up after 5 to 10 minutes of trying. In this instance, the facilitator can offer direct suggestions or suggest that the group stops the task, discusses their strategy, and then has another go.

Less often, a group may appear to be succeeding too fast. In response, be particularly vigilant about fingers not touching the pole. Also make sure participants lower the pole all the way onto the ground. You can add further difficulty by adding a large washer to each end of the stick and explain that the washers should not fall off during the exercise, otherwise it’s a restart.

Eventually the group needs to calm down, concentrate, and very slowly, and patiently lower the helium stick – easier said than done.

Once the team has succeeded, or given up, you can debrief by discussing:
- What was the initial reaction of the group?
- How well did the group cope with this challenge?
- What skills did it take to be successful as a group?
- What creative solutions were suggested and how were they received?
- What roles did people play?

Note: You can see a video of this exercise on You Tube: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=RXiC4jQXVk.

Legoman

- **Time:** 25 minutes
- **Resources:** A few sets of children’s building blocks
- **Learning objective:** Communication, team work
- **Number of people:** At least six

**Instructions:** Before the meeting, the facilitator will build a small sculpture with some of the building blocks and place it somewhere in the room, but keeping it hidden from plain view by a divider or some other means. Divide the team into small teams of three to six to form at least two teams and give each team enough building material so that they can duplicate the structure the facilitator has created (specific size and color included). Their task is to try to replicate the facilitator’s structure.

One member from each team can come up at any point of time to look at the structure for as long as they want and try to memorize it before returning to their team. No paper, pen, or camera are allowed to be brought to the structure. After the team member who viewed the structure returns to their team, they should attempt to instruct the team to build an exact replica of the structure. Meanwhile, another member from each team can come up for another sneak peek before returning to their team and trying to recreate the sculpture. The game should be continued in this pattern until one of the teams successfully duplicates the original sculpture. This game will teach participants how to strategize, communicate effectively, and problem solve in a group.

You can debrief by discussing:
- How did those who saw the structure try to explain it to the others? What was effective and what was difficult?
- Where did misunderstandings occur?
- What happened when more than one person looked at the structure?

**STYLE-TYPE INDICATORS**

Style-type indicators are assessments that can help individuals, and their team members, understand more about how they operate in the world. Reputable indicators have been tested for validity and reliability, even so, should not be construed as “painting someone into a box.” Rather, indicators can be used as a tool to help people better understand each other and to open a team to conversation about how to work together most effectively by leveraging the strengths of everyone on the team.

There are many indicators available on the market but we’ve listed two below that are easily available to teams.
Strength Deployment Inventory (SDI)

The Strength Deployment Inventory (SDI) is an assessment that helps individuals better understand how they are motivated and how those motivations drive their behavior and color their perceptions of how others behave. The SDI also measures how one’s behavior changes in conflict. There are seven different types that comprise the SDI and within each type, individuals can vary in terms of the degree to which they rely on that type. These seven types are derivatives of four main styles:

- **Blue (Altruistic-Nurturing):** These individuals are motivated by working with and through others. They are concerned for the protection, growth, and welfare of others.
- **Red (Assertive-Directing):** These individuals are motivated by making progress and accomplishing tasks. They effectively organize people, time, and money to achieve results.
- **Green (Analytic-Autotomizing):** These individuals are often independent and introspective. They prefer to collect as much information as possible before making important decisions to ensure that things have been appropriately thought out.
- **Hub (Flexible-Cohering):** These individuals are flexible and adaptable. They enjoy working in teams and are able to see an issue from many sides.

For teams, the results of the SDI can be plotted on one chart showing the degree to which team members share similarities in differences. This can lead to a rich discussion about what each member brings to the team and how the team can fill any blind spots that may be missing on the team.

The SDI is administered online and takes about 15 minutes per person to complete. The cost is $17 per person. A certified instructor can then meet with your team to share the results and discuss how the team can use the instrument to work together more effectively. This can be done in about an hour. For more information, please contact Laura Howes at laura.howes@duke.edu or 919-684-9021.

Jung Typology Test (Based on the Myers-Briggs)

The Jung Typology Test is a free online version of the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI). This assessment is a personality inventory that can be used to help people understand one another and work together more effectively. It identifies one’s preference along four dichotomies:

- **Extraversion (E) or Introversion (I):** The extent to which one prefers to focus on their outer or inner world.
- **Sensing (S) or Intuition (N):** The extent to which one takes in information by focusing on tactical and concrete things such as what they see, hear, touch, taste, and smell, versus focusing on the impressions, meaning, and patterns of the information they receive.
- **Thinking (T) or Feeling (F):** The extent to which one puts more weight on objective principles and impersonal facts versus on personal concerns and the people involved when making decisions.
- **Judging (J) or Perceiving (P):** The extent to which one prefers a more structured and decided lifestyle or a more flexible and adaptable lifestyle.

The assessment can be accessed online at: [http://www.humanmetrics.com/cgi-win/jtypes2.asp](http://www.humanmetrics.com/cgi-win/jtypes2.asp). It takes about 15 minutes to complete. Participants will immediately receive a short (non-detailed) description of their results. As a team leader, you can collect the results from each team member and facilitate a
discussion about the differences on the team (to the extent that you are comfortable with the model). Or for help debriefing this assessment please contact Laura Howes at laura.howes@duke.edu or 919-684-9021.