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## Discussion

The data can be grouped into 3 categories: endorsed, not endorsed, and inconclusive. For the four which students believed did affect the gender gap, all of these are commonly discussed in media and in some classes, signaling that the students understand the effect that things such as discrimination have on the STEM workforce. As for the four that GEM participants did not endorse and the four which were inconclusive, it cannot be said if this was due to a misunderstanding of the question, such as interpreting the question to be about their own beliefs rather than societal beliefs, or if students genuinely felt this way. For the inconclusive response, it could potentially be due to an increased conversation around these causes, but due to possible errors in understanding, the claim cannot be made. To aid this, in the future, it can be checked to ensure that students understand the question while also discussing these topics during GEM workshops.

## Next Steps

- Examine the causes or beliefs which affect the gender gap which GEM participants did not believe to have a correlation and create lesson plans for workshops that educate the students on the subjects
- Consider how each individual student's beliefs are correlated with their math ability and persistence/interest


## Limitations and Possible Errors

- Small sample size ( $\mathrm{n}=102$ )
- Changes in questions for 2020 data (therefore data not included in graphs to reflect possible question bias)
- Selection bias
- Students/parents placed them into the program
- Many GEM participants come from well-established schools


## Demographics

Grade of GEM Participants Race/Ethnicity of GEM Participants


